

## 89 Gastrointestinal Procedures and Devices



### REFERENCES

1. Mangili G, Aletti G, Frigerio L, et al: Palliative care for intestinal obstruction in recurrent ovarian cancer: a multivariate analysis. *Int J Gynecol Cancer* 15: 830, 2005.
2. Ripamonti C, Panzeri C, Groff L, et al: The role of somatostatin and octreotide in bowel obstruction: pre-clinical and clinical results. *Tumori* 87: 1, 2001.
3. Nelson R, Tse B, Edwards S: Systematic review of prophylactic nasogastric decompression after abdominal operations. *Br J Surg* 92: 673, 2005.
4. Inman BA, Harel F, Tiguert R, et al: Routine nasogastric tubes are not required following cystectomy with urinary diversion: a comparative analysis of 430 patients. *J Urol* 170: 1888, 2003.
5. Anderson RS, Witting MD, Pitera A, et al: Nasogastric aspiration in gastrointestinal hemorrhage. *Ann Emerg Med* 55: 364, 2010.
6. Witting MD, Magder L, Heins AE, et al: Usefulness and validity of diagnostic nasogastric aspiration in patients without hematemesis. *Ann Emerg Med* 43: 525, 2004.
7. Wilcox CM, Alexander LN, Cotsonis G: A prospective characterization of upper gastrointestinal hemorrhage presenting with hematochezia. *Am J Gastroenterol* 92: 231, 1997.
8. Thomsen TW, Shaffer RW, Setnik GS: Videos in clinical medicine. Nasogastric intubation. *N Engl J Med* 354: e16, 2006.
9. Cullen L, Taylor D, Taylor S, Chu K: Nebulized lidocaine decreases the discomfort of nasogastric tube insertion: a randomized double-blind trial. *Ann Emerg Med* 44: 131, 2004.
10. Ozececik DN, Daraca MA, Sivri B: Effectiveness of pre-emptive metoclopramide infusion in alleviating pain, discomfort, and nausea associated with nasogastric tube insertion: a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. *Int J Clin Pract* 59: 1422, 2005.
11. McCullough S, Halton T, Mowbray D, Macfarland PI: Lingual sucrose reduces the pain response to nasogastric tube insertion: a randomized clinical trial. *Arch Dis Fetal Neonatal Ed* 93: F100, 2008.
12. Larkin GL, Claassen C: Trends in emergency department use of gastric lavage for poisoning events in the United States, 1993–2003. *Clin Toxicol* 45: 164, 2007.
13. Chinnock B, Afarian H, Minnigan H, et al: Physician clinical impression does not rule out spontaneous bacterial peritonitis in patients undergoing emergency department paracentesis. *Ann Emerg Med* 52: 268, 2008.
14. Wong CL, Holroyd-Leduc J, Thorpe KE, et al: Does this patient have bacterial peritonitis or portal hypertension? How do I perform a paracentesis and analyze the results? *JAMA* 299: 1166, 2008.
15. Wollman B, D'Agostino HB: Percutaneous radiologic and endoscope gastrostomy a 3-year institutional analysis of procedure performance. *AJR Am J Roentgenol* 169: 1551, 1997.
16. Buscaglia JM: Common issues in PEG tubes—what every fellow should know. *Gastrointestinal Endoscopy* 64: 970, 2006.
17. Korula J, Harma CA: Simple and inexpensive method of removal or replacement of gastrostomy tubes. *JAMA* 265: 1426, 1991.
18. Chait PG, Weinberg J, Connolly BL, et al: Retrograde percutaneous gastrostomy and gastrojejunostomy in 505 children. *Radiology* 201: 691, 1996.
19. Yaseen M, Steele MI, Grunow JE: Nonendoscopic removal of percutaneous endoscopic gastrostomy tubes: morbidity and mortality in children. *Gastrointest Endosc* 44: 235, 1996.
20. Erdil A, Genc H, Uygun A, et al: The buried bumper syndrome: the usefulness of retrieval PEG tubes in its management. *Turk J Gastroenterol* 19: 45, 2008.
21. Witting MD, Magder L, Heins AE, et al: Emergency department predictors of upper gastrointestinal bleeding in patients presenting without hematemesis. *Am J Emerg Med* 24: 280, 2006.